

Pages 1 to 30

CHECKLIST FOR CAPACITORS MANUFACTURER AND LINE SURVEY

ESCC Basic Specification No. 2023000

Manufacturer

.

Location

.

Survey Team Leader :

Date of Survey

:

Capacitor Type(s)

ISSUE 2 February 2004



Document Custodian: European Space Agency - see https://escies.org



PAGE

ISSUE 2

LEGAL DISCLAIMER AND COPYRIGHT

European Space Agency, Copyright © 2004. All rights reserved.

The European Space Agency disclaims any liability or responsibility, to any person or entity, with respect to any loss or damage caused, or alleged to be caused, directly or indirectly by the use and application of this ESCC publication.

This publication, without the prior permission of the European Space Agency and provided that it is not used for a commercial purpose, may be:

- copied in whole in any medium without alteration or modification.
- copied in part, in any medium, provided that the ESCC document identification, comprising the ESCC symbol, document number and document issue, is removed.



PAGE 2

ISSUE 2

DOCUMENTATION CHANGE NOTICE

(Refer to https://escies.org for ESCC DCR content)

| DCR No. | CHANGE DESCRIPTION |
|---------|--|
| 68 | Specification upissued to incorporate technical changes per DCR. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



PAGE 3 ISSUE 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | INTRODUCTION | <u>Page</u> 4 |
|--------|---|-------------------------|
| 2. | SURVEY CHECKLIST | 4 |
| 2.1 | Interview on Arrival of Survey Team | 4 |
| 2.2 | Manufacturer and Survey Team Information | 4 |
| 2.3 | Management Organisation | 6 |
| 2.4 | Quality Assurance System and Organisation | 8 |
| 2.5 | Calibration | 10 |
| 2.6 | Drawing and Change Control | 11 |
| 2.7 | Reliability | 12 |
| 2.8 | Control of Procurement Sources | 14 |
| 2.9 | Control of Incoming Materials | 15 |
| 2.10 | In-process Inspections and Tests | 17 |
| 2.11 | Survey of Manufacturing Line | 20 |
| 2.11.1 | Leads | 20 |
| 2.11.2 | Capacitor Element | 20 |
| 2.11.3 | Capacitor Enclosure | 21 |
| 2.11.4 | Final Test Area and Screening Facility | 23 |
| 2.12 | Preservation, Packing and Shipping | 27 |
| 2.13 | Summary of Inspection Results | 29 |
| 2.14 | General Observations | 30 |



PAGE

ISSUE 2

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

This checklist is intended for use during the initial survey of a Manufacturer's ability to produce high quality articles, his management organisation, production facilities, test facilities and technical know-how. When completed, this checklist should enable the party interested in procurement of the subject components to assess the ability of the Manufacturer concerned to successfully execute a contract for the supply of high reliability space hardware.

2. SURVEY CHECKLIST

2.1 INTERVIEW ON ARRIVAL OF SURVEY TEAM

| (a) | Introductory | Remarks | by | Team | Leader | (Explanation | of | purpose | of | survey, | procedures | to | be |
|-----|---------------|--------------|-----|---------|--------|--------------|----|---------|----|---------|------------|----|----|
| | followed, tim | e limitation | ns, | etc.):- | | | | | | - | - | | |

(b) Notes (Atmosphere during reception, willingness to co-operate, interest shown, comments on personnel, general remarks):-

2.2 MANUFACTURER AND SURVEY TEAM INFORMATION

(a) Survey requested by :

Survey Team Leader

Team Members :

(b) Key personnel of Manufacturer interviewed:-

Name Function Tlph. Ext.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.



PAGE 5

ISSUE 2

(c) Type of Company (Private company, limited company, etc.)

| Affiliated | with | any | other | company | ? I | f so, | which: |
|------------|------|-----|-------|---------|-----|-------|--------|
|------------|------|-----|-------|---------|-----|-------|--------|

| | No. of employees: | | | |
|-----|-------------------------|---|--------------|----|
| | - Total number | : | | |
| | - Production | : | | |
| | - Quality Assurance | : | | |
| | - Q.A. Inspection | : | | |
| | - Prod. Engineering | : | | |
| | - Design Engineering | ງ : | | |
| | - Reliability Control | : | | |
| | - Other | : | | |
| (d) | Number of shifts | : | | |
| (e) | Plant area | : | | |
| (f) | General production line | : | | |
| | (1) Device types manu | ufactured: | | |
| | (2) Will flow diagrams | of steps to produce capacitors be available to Survey | Team? YES | NO |
| | Are specifications, | if any, referenced in the flow diagrams? | YES | NO |
| (g) | Principal Government | and industrial customers:- | | |
| | 1. | | | |
| | 2. | | | |
| | 3. | | | |
| | 4. | | | |
| | 5. | | | |
| (h) | The Manufacturer's Qu | uality System is organised in accordance with: | | |

Comments



PAGE 6

(i) Manufacturer's Government Service Inspection:

DCAS Inspector, resident/non-resident

- (j) National Inspectorate:
- (k) Is the Manufacturer's capacitor production

| (. | 1) Continuous? | YES | NO |
|----|---------------------------|-----|----|
| (2 | 2) Pilot production? | YES | NO |
| (; | 3) Advanced R&D, limited? | YES | NO |

(I) The Manufacturer has adequate experience in the production of the following hi-rel parts:-

2.3 MANAGEMENT CRGANISATION

- (a) What is general policy/attitude of the Management regarding quality/reliability programme?
- (b) Which level of Management participates actively in orientating policy towards space component production?
- (c) Which organisation, if any, reviews and monitors all work involved in space component production?
- (d) Is work related to space components (contracts) regarded as "normal business" or as belonging to the "unique order" category?
- (e) What is the general policy concerning proprietary rights?
- (f) Has the "Reliability" department the same authority from Management as the "Engineering" and "Production" departments? Does this mean direct responsibility for reliability of products in the line?



PAGE 7 ISSUE 2

(g) Has the Q.A. Manager direct authority for implementation of quality policy and actions related to the line?

| | the line? |
|------|---|
| (h) | Does a system exist for the regular supply of quality report summaries to Management? |
| | |
| | Does this system lead to (corrective) actions being taken in respect of the production line? |
| | |
| (i) | Are key management staff notified of persistent out-of-control conditions? |
| (j) | What is length of service and experience of key management personnel (Q.A., Reliability, Production, Engineering Design)? |
| | |
| (k) | How would contract for space components be organised? |
| (**) | riow would contract for space components be organised? |
| | |
| | |
| (l) | How can original requirements from Orderer (Space Agency or end-user) be assumed to be correctly translated into internal instructions? |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| (m | 1) How can information necessary to the Orderer (corrective actions, deviations, notification of inspections and/or problem areas) be assumed to be issued and channelled to the Orderer? |



| PAGE | 8 |
|------|---|
| | |

ISSUE 2

2.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM AND ORGANISATION

| (a) | To whom does Q.A. Manager report? | | |
|-------------|---|---------|---------|
| (b) | Does the company reflect a positive attitude towards Quality Assurance? Comments | YES | NO — |
| (c) | Has the Q.A. group sufficient authority in relation to its position within the company's organisation (see organigram)? Comments | | |
| (d) | Are areas of responsibility within the Q.A. group clearly defined? Comments | | |
| (e) | Are corrective actions to which Q.A. management is committed delegated to responsible staff or does Q.A. management have direct authority regarding the line? Which? | _ | |
| (f) | Is there a periodic and comprehensive quality data reporting system which covers all operational phases? Comments What is the relationship between O A and Reliability? | | |
| | What is the relationship between Q.A. and Reliability? | | |
| (h) | Is a Q.A. manual or equivalent document supplied to all levels of appropriate supervisory personnel? Is such document kept updated? Comments | _ | |



PAGE 9

| (i) |) Are written procedures available for identification and positive control | | | | | NO |
|-------------|--|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|---|----|
| | of accepted/rejected m Comments | aterials? | | | | |
| | Comments | | | | | |
| (j) | What is ratio Q.A. inspe | ectors : personnel | directly involved in | production? | | |
| (k) | Is inspection (acceptan | ce sampling or so | rting) performed by | Q.A. | | |
| | On receipt? | Sampling | Sorting | None | | |
| | During processing? | Sampling | Sorting | None | | |
| | During final testing? Comments | Sampling | Sorting | None | • | |
| (l) | Are written procedures | kept and used in | areas for:- | | | |
| | Receiving inspection? | | | | | |
| | In-process inspection? | | | | | |
| | Fabrication processing | ? | | | | |
| | Final testing? | | | | | |
| | Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| (m |) Does Q.A. maintain a (control chart, lot plot, | - | | stic controls | | |
| | In-process inspection? | | | | | - |
| | Fabrication processing | ? | | | | |
| | Final inspection? | | | | | |
| | Comments | | | | | |
| (n) | Is Q.A. responsible for of, quality training? | determination of r | need for, and the co | onducting | | - |
| | Comments | | | | | |
| (0) | Are training programm | es provided for so | ecial process perso | nnel? | | |
| . , | Comments | , | , | | | |



PAGE 10

| | (-) | De aveele and be a large to the | YES | NO |
|-----|-----|--|---|----|
| | | Do employees have to pass tests:- | | |
| | | After training? | | |
| | | Periodically? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | Are production operators provided with visual aids and working instructions? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| 2.5 | CAL | <u>LIBRATION</u> | | |
| | | Does Manufacturer maintain calibration facilities and standards? | | |
| | | Is this service purchased? | | |
| | | If so, from whom? | | |
| | (b) | Do calibration personnel have written procedures for control and a time schedule for measurement frequency? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | (c) | Is there an effective calibration record control system? | | |
| | (d) | Are calibration procedures adhered to and up-to-date? Comments | | • |
| | (e) | Are decals used for equipment identification to show that units have been calibrated; when next calibration date is due and calibrator identification? | | |
| | | Are decals up-to-date? | | |
| | (f) | Are adjustments of calibrated equipment required to be sealed and tamper-proof? | | |
| | (g) | Who is in charge of initiating calibration steps? | | |
| | | User | | |
| | | Calibration personnel | | |
| | | Q.A. | *************************************** | |
| | | | | |



PAGE 11 ISSUE 2

| | (h) | Do calibration procedures provide for removal of any equipment | YES | NO |
|-----|-----|--|-------------|----|
| | | not maintained or calibrated according to established schedules? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | (i) | Have calibrating personnel up-to-date certification records reflecting date, traceability to NBS and identification of calibrator? | | |
| | | (1) Mechanical standard? | | |
| | | (2) Electrical standard? | | |
| | | | | |
| | (j) | Is modified and/or repaired equipment calibrated prior to release? | | |
| 2.6 | DR | AWING AND CHANGE CONTROL | | |
| | (a) | Has Manufacturer adequate written procedures for control of specification and contract changes? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (b) | Does Manufacturer's system provide for documented change control guaranteeing availability of required drawing at relevant manufacturing or inspection step? | | |
| | | Do flow documents show current revisions? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | (c) | Are drawings furnished by ESTEC and contract changes adequately | | |
| | (0) | controlled? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | (d) | Dogo O A various all describes and above at the state of the | | |
| | (u) | Does Q.A. review all drawings and changes therein prior to their becoming effective? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (e) | Has Manufacturer established a procedure for notifying his Supplier of changes in drawings? | - | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | 15) | | | |
| | (T) | Are current specification revisions shown on prints of drawings? | | |



PAGE 12

| 2.7 | RFI | LIABILITY | YES | NO |
|-----|-----|--|------------|----|
| | - | Is structure of Reliability organisation clearly defined? | | |
| | | Has Reliability same authority in respect of the line as Production or Engineering management? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (b) | Is there a direct feed-back of information between Reliability, Design Engineering and Q.A. groups to ensure timely notification of all relevant data? | ********** | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (c) | Does Reliability respond promptly and efficiently to unexpected and/or newly detected failure modes? | - | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (d) | Are line failures (types and causes) analysed and reported to those responsible for corrective actions? | | |
| | (e) | Are corrective actions resulting from failure analysis agreed with the Q.A. group involved or Reliability if parts or process changes must be made? | | |
| | | Q.A. Group | - | |
| | | Reliability | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | (f) | Has Reliability right to approve test specifications, data tabulation, parts or process changes? | | |
| | (g) | Is there a system for in-process failure analysis? | | |
| | | End-item failure? | | |
| | | Reporting? | | |
| | | Comments | | |



PAGE 13 ISSUE 2

| | | YES | NO |
|-----|--|-------------------|---|
| (h) | Are following items submitted to failure analysis as a matter of routine? | | |
| | - Production line rejects | | |
| | - Lots with a high rejection rate | | |
| | Define:- | | |
| | | | |
| | - Items returned by Orderer | | |
| | - Items returned by Orderer with special request for failure analysis | ***************** | |
| (i) | Has Manufacturer a failure analysis laboratory or an equivalent facility? Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (j) | Are failure analysis procedures:- | | |
| | (1) Available? | | |
| | (2) In use? | | |
| | (3) Adequate? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (k) | Is failure analysis equipment:- | | |
| | (1) Available? | | |
| | (2) In use? | | |
| | (3) Adequate? | | *************************************** |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (l) | Are there special personnel for failure analysis? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| (m) | Are failure analysis reports:- | | |
| (, | (1) Available? | | |
| | (2) Adequate? | | *** |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (n) | Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of discrete device designs prior to release thereof? | | |
| | Comments | | |



2.8

ESCC Basic Specification No. 2023000

PAGE 14

| (0) | Has Poliability access to all portinent development and production | YES | NO |
|-------------|--|-----|-------------|
| (0) | Has Reliability access to all pertinent development and production data of discrete devices for analysis purposes? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (p) | Is reliability data available of discrete devices from the line(s) which the Manufacturer wishes to be approved? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (q) | Has Manufacturer an evaluation laboratory for determination of product characteristics? | | |
| | | | - |
| (r) | If Manufacturer has an evaluation laboratory: | | |
| (1) | - Does it operate according to an established programme? or | | |
| | - According to special requests? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (s) | Give examples of problems investigated by evaluation laboratory | | |
| (-) | The state of presents in estigated by evaluation laboratory | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (t) | Are laboratory results available on request? | | |
| (-) | The second of th | | |
| (u) | Are data sheets based on these results? | - | |
| CC | ONTROL OF PROCUREMENT SOURCES | | |
| | | | |
| (a) | Has Manufacturer adequate written procedures for purchase control of materials, components and services? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (b) | Has Manufacturer an effective vendor rating system? | - | |
| | Comments | | |



PAGE 15 ISSUE 2

YES NO (c) Does rating system provide for effectiveness of written corrective actions received from Suppliers? Comments (d) Do purchase documents require delivery of test reports if such reports are specified in the relevant ESA contract? Comments (e) Is there a means of channelling information when specification changes require modification of current purchase orders? Is "Receiving Inspection" notified of changes in purchase orders? Comments 2.9 CONTROL OF INCOMING MATERIALS (Performed in situ) (a) Are Manufacturer's written standard inspection procedures adequate for control of incoming materials and services received? Do inspectors know how and when to apply these procedures? Comments (b) Are materials received in a controlled area from which removal prior to inspection is impossible? Comments (c) Are materials properly handled and protected during the receiving process? Comments (d) Does Receiving Inspection use drawings and purchase orders? If so, do these documents show Quality Control review? Comments (e) Are test reports from Suppliers being reviewed? Comments



Comments

ESCC Basic Specification No. 2023000

PAGE 16 ISSUE 2

NO YES (f) Are accepted materials adequately identified? Do documents show evidence of acceptance? Comments (g) Are rejected materials adequately identified and segregated? Comments (h) Which materials are subject to limited shelf life limitations? Comments (i) Are shelf life and cure date materials properly identified and controlled? Comments (j) Do records indicate traceability of units, lots and sublots to applicable documents (specification, revision letter - if any - and inspection record)? Comments (k) Are materials stored in a controlled area under the responsibility of an authorised Custodian? Comments (I) Are suitable inspections and tests, including physical and chemical tests, performed on raw materials? Comments (m) Are such tests performed: In-house? At other locations?



PAGE 17

| | (n) | Are storage containers, racks, bins, etc. adequate for type of material | YES | NO |
|------|------------------|---|----------------|----|
| | | stored? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (o) | Is lot traceability maintained? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (p) | Is "first in/first out" method applied? | | |
| 2.10 | IN- | PROCESS INSPECTIONS AND TESTS | | |
| | (a) | To whom does In-process Q.A. Inspection report? | | |
| | | | | |
| | (b) | Are inspection and/or operation travellers used sequential to performance and control of all operations and processes? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (0) | Do travellers refer to inspection procedures? | | |
| | (0) | Do inspectors know how and when to use them? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (d) | Do travellers refer to controlled specifications? | | |
| | (u) | Do specifications show <u>current</u> revision status? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | (-) | Dans O.A. had a street of the | | |
| | (e) | Does Q.A. have written in-process procedures to control acceptance of products? | | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |
| | / f\ | Does the manufacturer test for early failures as part of in-process | | |
| | (1) | controls? | and the second | |
| | | Comments | | |



PAGE 18

| (a) | Does the manufacturer maintain and document standard screening | YES | NO |
|------------|--|-----------------|----|
| | tests as part of their own in-process controls? | Business States | |
| (h) | Does the manufacturer review the in-process control tests results against the screening tests requirements defined in the relevant Generic Specification? | _ | |
| (i) | Is type and quantity of available inspection equipment adequate for type of work being accomplished? Comments | _ | |
| (j) | Are documentation and instruments used by inspectors subject to calibration control? Is calibration evident and up-to-date? Comments | _ | _ |
| (k) | Is there a specific material review procedure? Comments | | |
| (1) | Do in-process Q.A. inspectors summarise quality experience on the basis of specific process stages? Do they issue quality reports on a regular basis? Do reports result in assistance and/or action? Comments | | |
| (m | Are requests for corrective action issued in writing? Are such requests answered? Does corrective action ensue? Comments | | |



PAGE 19

| (n) | Does Q.A. maintain any statistic controls (X&R, etc.) in the in-process | YES | NO |
|-----|--|-------------|----|
| () | area? | | |
| | Are these controls up-to-date and at individual process stations? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (o) | Is lot identification maintained throughout processing? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (p) | Are there documents describing in-process manufacturing procedures and controls? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| (~\ | And the control of the state of | | |
| (q) | Are there documents describing in-process inspections? | | |
| | Do inspectors know how and when to use them? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (r) | Are there specific standards for handling, cleanliness and care of materials, parts and equipment? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (s) | Are calibrations evidenced and up-to-date? | - | |
| | | | |
| (+) | Hoo O A guithority to oton production flow in case of sub-of-castual | | |
| (t) | Has Q.A. authority to stop production flow in case of out-of-control conditions? | | |
| | Is a written material review procedure in use? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (u) | Are records maintained of training and competence of operators for welding, soldering, radiography, radiflo and plating? | Managements | |
| | Comments | | |



2.11

2.11.1

2.11.2

(c) Materials used

ESCC Basic Specification No. 2023000

PAGE 20

| | YES | NO |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| (v) Are certified operators identifiable by means of a card or badge on their clothing? | | |
| Comments | | |
| | | |
| SURVEY OF MANUFACTURING LINE | | |
| This review shall be performed in 2 phases:- | | |
| (1) Identification of the various steps listed in the flow chart to define the cand collect all relevant information. | orresponding | g operations |
| (2) Actual line survey (indicate if inspection was performed). | | |
| If different technologies are applied, the inspection results shall be supplied of | on separate | sheets. |
| <u>Leads</u> | | |
| (a) Which lead material and plating is used? | | |
| (b) Which body material and plating is used? | | |
| | | |
| (c) Lead/body type of junction. | | |
| | | |
| (d) How are parameters controlled? | | |
| | | |
| (e) How is quality controlled? | | |
| (e) How is quality controlled? | | |
| | | |
| Capacitor Element | | |
| (a) Which technology is used? | | |
| | | |
| (b) Description | | |
| (5) 2555 | | |



PAGE 21

ISSUE 2

YES NO (d) Which assembly method is used? (e) How is process controlled? (f) How is position of elements defined? (g) How is quality of assembly controlled? (h) Which criteria are applied to radiographic inspection? (i) Additional items (if necessary). 2.11.3 Capacitor Enclosure (a) By which means is the device protected? Lacquer Sealing in a hermetic enclosure Pressure moulding Coating Sleeving Comments (b) Is capacitor element heated before protection is applied? Comments



PAGE 22

| | | YES | NO |
|-------------|---|-----|-------|
| (c) | How is protection applied? - By hand | | ***** |
| | - Automatically | | |
| Cor | mments | | |
| | | | |
| (d) | If several layers, how are they made? | | |
| (e) | List parameters of resin controlled during application:- | | |
| (f) | Are controls deemed to be adequate? | | - |
| | | | |
| (g) | Which curing and inspection procedures are applied to: - Intermediate coatings? | | |
| | | | |
| | - Final coatings? | | |
| | | | |
| (h) | Which solvent is recommended for analysis of devices? | | |
| <i>(</i> :\ | | | |
| (1) | Are records available to check actual curing conditions? | | |
| (j) | How does Manufacturer control sealing dimensions during processing? | | |
| | | | |
| (k) | How does Manufacturer control dimensions during inspection? | | |



PAGE 23

| | (I) Is such inspection scheduled? And which aspects are inspected? | | |
|--------|--|-----|----|
| | (m) Who performs the inspection(s)? | | |
| | (n) Are visual aids and criteria provided for inspection purposes? | | |
| | (o) Are visual aids and criteria applied to the production line? | | |
| | (p) Are visual aids and criteria adequate? | | |
| | | | |
| 2.11.4 | Final Test Area and Screening Facility (a) Are they separate operations? | YES | NO |
| | (b) Are final production tests (see ESCC specification) performed by personnel under Q.A. monitoring?Or are they performed by Q.A. personnel? | | • |
| | Comments | | |
| | (c) Does the final test have written inspection and test procedures for product classes on the line? | | |
| | Do inspectors know when and how to use them? Comments | | |
| | (d) Do inspectors use assigned stamps to indicate inspection status on materials and accompanying documents? | | |
| | Comments | | _ |



PAGE 24

| | | YES | NO |
|-----|--|-----|----|
| (e) | Are requests for corrective action made in writing? | - | |
| | Are such requests answered? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (f) | Are rejected devices identified and segregated in a controlled area? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (g) | Are records of accepted and rejected material maintained? | | |
| | Are these records identifiable with such materials? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (h) | Are device failures analysed? | | |
| | Are device failure analyses summarised and reported by final Q.A.? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (i) | Is a summary inspection and test report sent regularly to quality | | |
| (1) | management (lot acceptance, percentage of defects, types of failure)? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (j) | Is a testing laboratory or equivalent facility available for quality assurance | | |
| U) | purposes? | | |
| | Which of the following tests are performed in the laboratory or facility? | | |
| | (1) Electrical tests | | |
| | (2) Mechanical tests | | |
| | (3) Chemical tests | | |
| | Comments | - | |
| (k) | Are statistical controls of device parameter distribution maintained? | | |
| . , | Are they reported to Q.A. or Reliability? | | |
| | Comments | | |



| PAGE | 25 |
|------|----|
|------|----|

| | | YES | NO |
|------------|--|--|----|
| (l) | Is an environmental test facility maintained in-house? | | |
| | If not, state where: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Are the following tests performed at this facility? | | |
| | (1) Temperature (high, low, cycle) | *************************************** | |
| | (2) Shock (mechanical, thermal) | Management of the latest of th | |
| | (3) Acceleration | | |
| | (4) Vibration (fixed, variable, random noise) | | - |
| | (5) Moisture resistance | | |
| | (6) Altitude | | |
| | (7) Radiographic | | |
| | (8) Hermeticity tests | | |
| | (a) Fine leak, if applicable | - | |
| | (b) Gross leak or penetrant dye | | |
| | (9) Lead fatigue | | |
| | (10) Life tests - operating | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | Y | | |
| | | | |
| (m |) Is available equipment used: | | |
| | - For production? | | |
| | - In R&D? | | |
| | - For Quality Control on a sample basis? | | |
| | - For screening? | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (n) | Are charts provided for the monitoring of environmental test equipment? | | - |
| | Comments | | |
| (0) | Is test equipment adequate for fulfilment of specification requirements? Comments | | |



PAGE 26

| | | YES | NO |
|-----|---|-----|--------------|
| (p) | Is final external visual inspection performed on 100% of the devices? Comments | | |
| (p) | Are devices stored in a limited access area? Comments | _ | |
| (r) | Are devices adequately identified to Customer requirements? Comments | | ************ |
| | | | |
| (S) | Are there provisions for lot identification? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (t) | How many burn-in positions are available: | | |
| | - At room ambient temperature? | | |
| | | | |
| | - At specified ambient temperature? | | |
| | - At specified case temperature (cooled hot plate)? | | |
| | | | |
| (u) | Does burn-in require soldering of leads? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (v) | What precautions are taken to maintain solderability of leads after burn-in? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

- (w) How does Manufacturer ensure that failed devices are separated from processed lots of:
 - ESCC Level 'B'



PAGE 27

ISSUE 2

YES NO ESCC Level 'C' (x) Has Manufacturer all test equipment necessary to perform all qualification tests: In-house? In nearby facility? Specify equipment and its location: In remote location Specify equipment and its location: 2.12 PRESERVATION, PACKING AND SHIPPING (a) Are there adequate written procedures for control of shipping? Comments (b) Are materials designated for shipment properly identified, handled and protected? Comments (c) Do copies of Customer's purchase order and evidence of inspection acceptance accompany materials from end of final test up to the time of shipment? Comments (d) Do Q.A. personnel perform audits of all outgoing lots? Comments (e) Do shipping documents reflect inspection status or evidence of inspection, identification and similar shipping requirements? Comments



PAGE 28

| | | YES | NO |
|-----|--|-----------------|----|
| (f) | Does Manufacturer verify conformity of devices and invoices with purchase order? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| (g) | Does Manufacturer implement special packaging methods for hi-rel devices? | | |
| | If so, which of following methods is used? | | |
| | - Individual packages | ***** | |
| | - Mechanical protection | | |
| | - Environmental protection | | |
| | - Special warning labels | William Control | |
| | | | |
| (h) | Is shipping method designed to allow official inspection by Customs without actual removal of protective material? | | |
| | Comments | | |
| | | | |
| (i) | Do instructions prohibit the use of substandard packaging methods for shipment of hi-rel devices? | | |



PAGE 29

ISSUE 2

2.13 <u>SUMMARY OF INSPECTION RESULTS</u>

Indicate inspection results per manufacturing and testing area, whereby:

V = Adequate.

O = Insufficient or non-adequate.

= Not checked or not applicable.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Environmental conditions:

Cleanliness

Temperature control

Humidity control

Occupancy

Procedures available:

Travellers

Calibration

Segregation of rejects

Inspection evidence

Area No.

1 =

2 =

3 =

4 =

5 =

6 =

7 =



PAGE 30

ISSUE 2

2.14 GENERAL OBSERVATIONS (Not to exceed 2 pages)