

Page i

CHECKLIST FOR SURFACE ACOUSTIC

WAVE (SAW) DEVICES

MANUFACTURER AND LINE SURVEY

ESCC Basic Specification No. 2023502

Manufacturer	:	
Location	:	
Survey Team Leader	:	
Date of Survey	:	
SAW Device Type(s)	:	

ISSUE 1 October 2002



Document Custodian: European Space Agency - see https://escies.org



LEGAL DISCLAIMER AND COPYRIGHT

European Space Agency, Copyright © 2002. All rights reserved.

The European Space Agency disclaims any liability or responsibility, to any person or entity, with respect to any loss or damage caused, or alleged to be caused, directly or indirectly by the use and application of this ESCC publication.

This publication, without the prior permission of the European Space Ageny and provided that it is not used for a commercial purpose, may be:

- copied in whole in any medium without alteration or modification.
- copied in part, in any medium, provided that the ESCC document identification, comprising the ESCC symbol, document number and document issue, is removed.



european space agency agence spatiale européenne

Pages 1 to 32

CHECKLIST FOR SURFACE ACOUSTIC

WAVE (SAW) DEVICES

MANUFACTURER AND LINE SURVEY

ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502

Manufacturer	:
Location	:
Survey Team Leader	:
Date of Survey	:
SAW Device Type(s)	:



space components coordination group

	Date	Approved by		
lssue/Rev.		SCCG Chairman	ESA Director General or his Deputy	
Issue 1	November 1994	Tomoments (Horm	



No. 2023502

DOCUMENTATION CHANGE NOTICE

Rev. Letter	Rev. Date	Reference	CHANGE Item	Approved DCR No.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	See	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 3 ISSUE 1		
		TABLE OF CONTENTS				
1.	INTRODUCTION			Page 4		
2.	SURVEY CHECKLIST			4		
2.1	Interview on Arrival of S	Survey Team		4		
2.2	Manufacturer and Surv	ey Team Information		4		
2.3	Management Organisat	ion		6		
2.4	Quality Assurance Syst	8				
2.5	Calibration	10				
2.6	Drawing and Change C	11				
2.7	Reliability	12				
2.8	Control of Procurement			14		
2.9	Control of Incoming Ma			15		
2.10	In-process Inspections			17		
2.11	Survey of Manufacturin			19		
2.11.1	Manufacturing Environ			19		
2.11.2	Fabrication of Substrate			20		
2.11.3 2.11.4	Metallisation of Substra	te		20		
2.11.4	Bonding of Substrate Lead-bonding		22 23			
2.11.5	Pre-seal Device Prepar	23				
2.11.0	Enclosure of Devices	23 24				
2.11.8				24 24		
2.11.9	•					
2.12						
2.13	Summary of Inspection			29 31		
2.14	General Observations			32		



No. 2023502

4

1. INTRODUCTION

This checklist is intended for use during the initial survey of a Manufacturer's ability to produce high quality articles, his management organisation, production facilities, test facilities and technical know-how. When completed, this checklist should enable the party interested in procurement of the subject components to assess the ability of the Manufacturer concerned to successfully execute a contract for the supply of high reliability space hardware.

2. SURVEY CHECKLIST

2.1 INTERVIEW ON ARRIVAL OF SURVEY TEAM

(a) Introductory Remarks by Team Leader (Explanation of purpose of survey, procedures to be followed, time limitations, etc.):-

(b) <u>Notes</u> (Atmosphere during reception, willingness to co-operate, interest shown, comments on personnel, general remarks):-

2.2 MANUFACTURER AND SURVEY TEAM INFORMATION

- (a) Survey requested by :
 - Survey Team Leader :
 - Team Members

(b) Key personnel of Manufacturer interviewed:-

:

Name	Function	Tlph. Ext.
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		



(c) Type of Company (Private company, limited company, etc.)

Affiliated with any other company? If so, which:

:

:

:

:

:

:

No. of employees:

- Total number
- Production
- Quality Assurance :
- Q.A. Inspection
- Prod. Engineering :
- Design Engineering :
- Reliability Control :
- Other
- (d) Number of shifts
- (e) Plant area
- (f) General production line :
 - (1) Device types manufactured:

	(2) Will flow diagrams of steps to produce SAW devices be available to Su	rvey Team? YES	NO
	Are specifications, if any, referenced in the flow diagrams?	YES	NO
(g)	Principal Government and industrial customers:-		
	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		

5.

(h) The Manufacturer's Quality System is organised in accordance with:

Comments



(i) Manufacturer's Government Service Inspection:

DCAS Inspector, resident/non-resident

- (j) National Inspectorate:
- (k) Is the Manufacturer's SAW device production

(1) Continuous?	YES	NO
(2) Pilot production?	YES	NO
(3) Advanced R&D, limited?	YES	NO

(I) The Manufacturer has adequate experience in the production of the following hi-rel parts:-

2.3 MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION

- (a) What is general policy/attitude of the Management regarding quality/reliability programme?
- (b) Which level of Management participates actively in orientating policy towards space component production?
- (c) Which organisation, if any, reviews and monitors all work involved in space component production?
- (d) Is work related to space components (contracts) regarded as "normal business" or as belonging to the "unique order" category?
- (e) What is the general policy concerning proprietary rights?
- (f) Has the "Reliability" department the same authority from Management as the "Engineering" and "Production" departments? Does this mean direct responsibility for reliability of products in the line?

- (g) Has the Q.A. Manager direct authority for implementation of quality policy and actions related to the line?
- (h) Does a system exist for the regular supply of quality report summaries to Management?

Does this system lead to (corrective) actions being taken in respect of the production line?

- (i) Are key management staff notified of persistent out-of-control conditions?
- (j) What is length of service and experience of key management personnel (Q.A., Reliability, Production, Engineering Design)?
- (k) How would contract for space components be organised?

(I) How can original requirements from Orderer (Space Agency or end-user) be assumed to be correctly translated into internal instructions?

(m) How can information necessary to the Orderer (corrective actions, deviations, notification of inspections and/or problem areas) be assumed to be issued and channelled to the Orderer?

(b)	Does the company reflect a positive attitude towards Quality Assurance? Comments	YES 	NO
(c)	Has the Q.A. group sufficient authority in relation to its position within the company's organisation (see organigram)? Comments		
(d)	Are areas of responsibility within the Q.A. group clearly defined? Comments		
(e)	Are corrective actions to which Q.A. management is committed delegated to responsible staff or does Q.A. management have direct authority regarding the line? Which?		
(f)	Is there a periodic and comprehensive quality data reporting system which covers all operational phases? Comments		
(g)	What is the relationship between Q.A. and Reliability?		
(h)	Is a Q.A. manual or equivalent document supplied to all levels of appropriate supervisory personnel? Is such document kept updated? Comments		

	SCC	ESA/SCC Basic No. 202				PAGE 9 SSUE 1
(i)	Are written procedur of accepted/rejected Comments	res available for ident I materials?	ification and positive	e control	YES	NO
(j)	What is ratio Q.A. in	spectors : personnel	directly involved in	production?		
(k)	ls inspection (accep personnel:- On receipt? During processing?	tance sampling or so Sampling Sampling	Sorting	Q.A. None None		
	During final testing? Comments	Sampling	Sorting	None		
(I)	Are written procedur Receiving inspection In-process inspectio Fabrication processi Final testing? Comments	n?	areas for:-			
(m)				tic controls		
(n)	Is Q.A. responsible t of, quality training? Comments	for determination of n	eed for, and the cor	nducting		
(0)	Are training program Comments	nmes provided for spe	cial process person	nel?		

			ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 10 ISSUE 1
	(p)	Do employees have	e to pass tests:-	YE	S NO
		After training?			_
		Periodically?		<u></u>	
		Comments			
	(q)	Are production ope instructions?	rators provided with visual aids and worki	ng	
		Comments			
2.5	<u>CA</u>	LIBRATION			
	(a)	Does Manufacturer	maintain calibration facilities and standard	ds?	
		Is this service purcl	hased?		<u> </u>
·		If so, from whom?			
	(b)		onnel have written procedures for control measurement frequency?	and	
		Comments			
	(c)	Is there an effective	e calibration record control system?		
	(d)		edures adhered to and up-to-date?		
		Comments			
	(e)		r equipment identification to show that uni en next calibration date is due and calibra		
		Are decals up-to-da	te?		
	(f)	Are adjustments of tamper-proof?	calibrated equipment required to be seale	ed and	
	(g)	Who is in charge of User	f initiating calibration steps?		
		Calibration personn	el		
		Q.A.		·	•
		ч .п.			

		See	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 11 ISSUE 1
	(h)		edures provide for removal of any equipm alibrated according to established schedu		S NO
	(i)	Have calibrating pedate, traceability to (1) Mechanical sta (2) Electrical stand		flecting 	
	(j)	Is modified and/or r	epaired equipment calibrated prior to relea	ase?	
2.6		AWING AND CHAN Has Manufacturer a specification and co Comments	adequate written procedures for control of		<u> </u>
	(b)	guaranteeing availa or inspection step?	's system provide for documented change bility of required drawing at relevant manu show current revisions?		
	(c)	Are drawings furnis controlled? Comments	hed by ESTEC and contract changes ade	equately	
	(d)	Does Q.A. review a becoming effective Comments	II drawings and changes therein prior to tl ?	heir	
	(e)	Has Manufacturer e of changes in drawi Comments	established a procedure for notifying his Sings?	upplier 	
	(f)	Are current specific	ation revisions shown on prints of drawing	gs?	

2.7 RELIABILITY YES NO (a) Is structure of Reliability organisation clearly defined?		SCC	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 12 ISSUE 1
Has Reliability same authority in respect of the line as Production or Engineering management?	2.7 <u>R</u> E	LIABILITY		YES	3 NO
Has Reliability same authority in respect of the line as Production or Engineering management?	(a)	Is structure of Relia	bility organisation clearly defined?		
Engineering management?	(4)			ction or	
(b) Is there a direct feed-back of information between Reliability, Design Engineering and Q.A. groups to ensure timely notification of all relevant data? Comments (c) Does Reliability respond promptly and efficiently to unexpected and/or newly detected failure modes? Comments (d) Are line failures (types and causes) analysed and reported to those responsible for corrective actions? (e) Are corrective actions resulting from failure analysis agreed with the Q.A. group involved or Reliability if parts or process changes must be made? Q.A. Group Reliability Reliability (f) Has Reliability right to approve test specifications, data tabulation,					
Engineering and Q.A. groups to ensure timely notification of all relevant data?		Comments			
 (c) Does Reliability respond promptly and efficiently to unexpected and/or newly detected failure modes?	(b)	Engineering and Q.			
and/or newly detected failure modes?		Comments			
 (e) Are corrective actions resulting from failure analysis agreed with the Q.A. group involved or Reliability if parts or process changes must be made? Q.A. Group Reliability Comments (f) Has Reliability right to approve test specifications, data tabulation, 	(C)	and/or newly detect		ed	
 Q.A. group involved or Reliability if parts or process changes must be made? Q.A. Group	(d)			o those	- <u></u>
Reliability	(e)	Q.A. group involved			
Comments (f) Has Reliability right to approve test specifications, data tabulation,		Q.A. Group			
(f) Has Reliability right to approve test specifications, data tabulation,		Reliability			.
		Comments			
	(f)			ation,	. <u> </u>
(g) Is there a system for in-process failure analysis?	(a)	Is there a system for	or in-process failure analysis?		
End-item failure?	(3)			<u></u>	
Reporting?					
Comments		Comments			

	<u>See</u>	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 13 ISSUE 1
(h)		submitted to failure analysis as a matter	YES	ŝ NO
	- Production line	rejects		
	- Lots with a high	h rejection rate		
	Define:-			
	- Items returned	by Orderer		
	- Items returned	by Orderer with special request for failure	analysis	
(i)	Has Manufacturer a	a failure analysis laboratory or an equivale	nt facility?	
(j)	Are failure analysis (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments	procedures:-		
(k)	ls failure analysis e	quipment:-		
	(1) Available?			
	(2) In use?			
	(3) Adequate?		- 196 - Parlamento	
	Comments			
(I)	Are there special p Comments	ersonnel for failure analysis?		
(m) Are failure analysis	reports:-		
	(1) Available?			
	(2) Adequate?			<u> </u>
	Comments			
(n)	designs prior to rel	ogramme to ensure reliability of discrete o ease thereof?	levice	
	Comments			

	Sec	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 14 ISSUE 1
(0)		ess to all pertinent development and produvices for analysis purposes?	YE:	S NO
	Comments			
(p)		ailable of discrete devices from the line(s ishes to be approved?) which	
(q)	Has Manufacturer a characteristics?	an evaluation laboratory for determination	of product	
(r)	- Does it operate	an evaluation laboratory: according to an established programme? pecial requests?	? or	
(s)	Give examples of p	roblems investigated by evaluation labora	tory	
(t)	Are laboratory resu	Its available on request?		- <u></u>
(u)	Are data sheets bas	sed on these results?		
2.8 <u>CC</u>	NTROL OF PROCU	REMENT SOURCES		
(a)		dequate written procedures for purchase onents and services?	control	
(b)	Has Manufacturer a	in effective vendor rating system?		

G	See	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 16 ISSUE 1
(f)		rials adequately identified?	YE:	S NO
(g)	Are rejected materi Comments	als adequately identified and segregated?		
(h)	Which materials are	e subject to limited shelf life limitations?		
(i)		ure date materials properly identified and	controlled?	
(j)		traceability of units, lots and sublots to a cation, revision letter - if any - and inspect		
(k)	Are materials store authorised Custodia Comments	d in a controlled area under the responsib an?	ility of an	
. (1)	Are suitable inspec tests, performed or Comments	tions and tests, including physical and cho raw materials?	əmical	
(m)) Are such tests perf - In-house? - At other locatio Comments			

	HHA	SCC	ESA/SCC Basic Specification		PAGE 15
			No. 2023502		ISSUE 1
	(C)	Does rating system actions received fro	provide for effectiveness of written corre	YE	s no
		Comments	Jin Suppliers?		<u> </u>
	(d)		nents require delivery of test reports if su d in the relevant ESA contract?	ch	<u> </u>
		Comments			
	(e)		f channelling information when specification of current purchase orders?	on changes	
			ection" notified of changes in purchase or	ders?	<u>-</u>
		Comments			
	_				
2.9	<u>CO</u>	NTROL OF INCOM	NG MATERIALS (Performed in situ)		
	(a)		written standard inspection procedures a ing materials and services received?	dequate	
		•	whow and when to apply these procedure	s?	
		Comments			
	(b)	Are materials receiving inspection is impos	ved in a controlled area from which remov sible?	val prior to	
		Comments			
	(c)	Are materials prope process?	erly handled and protected during the rece	eiving	
		Comments			
	(d)		pection use drawings and purchase order	′s?	
		If so, do these docu Comments	uments show Quality Control review?		<u> </u>
		Comments			
	(e)	Are test reports from	m Suppliers being reviewed?		
		Comments			

		SEC	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502			PAGE 17 ISSUE 1
	(n)	Are storage contair stored? Comments	ners, racks, bins, etc. adequate for type of	f material	YE8	6 NO
	(0)	Is lot traceability m Comments	aintained?			
	(p)	ls "first in/first out"	method applied?			. <u> </u>
2.10	<u>IN-</u>	PROCESS INSPEC	TIONS AND TESTS			
	(a)	To whom does In-p	process Q.A. Inspection report?			
	(b)		/or operation travellers used sequential to perations and processes?	performance		-
	(c)		to inspection procedures? v how and when to use them?			
	(d)		to controlled <u>specifications</u> ? how <u>current</u> revision status?			·
	(e)	Does Q.A. have wr of products? Comments	itten in-process procedures to control acc	eptance		
	(f)	ls type and quantity of work being acco Comments	y of available inspection equipment adequation mplished?	ate for type		

	SCC	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 18 ISSUE 1
(g)	Are documentation calibration control? Is calibration evider Comments	and instruments used by inspectors subjent and up-to-date?	YES	S NO
(h)	Is there a specific r Comments	naterial review procedure?		-
(i)	basis of specific pro Do they issue quali	inspectors summarise quality experience ocess stages? ty reports on a regular basis? assistance and/or action?	on the	
(i)	Are requests for co Are such requests Does corrective act Comments			
(k)	area?	any statistic controls (X&R, etc.) in the ir		
(1)	Is lot identification r Comments	naintained throughout processing?		
(m)	Are there documen and controls? Comments	ts describing in-process manufacturing pro	ocedures	. <u></u>

<u></u>				
	SCC	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 19 ISSUE 1
(n)		ts describing in-process inspections?	YE:	S NO
(0)	Are there specific s materials, parts and Comments	tandards for handling, cleanliness and ca I equipment?	re of	
(p)	Are calibrations evi	denced and up-to-date?		
(q)	conditions?	to stop production flow in case of out-of-o		
(r)		ned of training and competence of operative radiography, radiflo and plating?	tors for	
(s)	Are certified operat their clothing? Comments	ors identifiable by means of a card or bad	lge on 	
2.11 <u>SU</u>	RVEY OF MANUFA	CTURING LINE		

This review shall be performed in 2 phases:-

- (1) Identification of the various steps listed in the flow chart to define the corresponding operations and collect all relevant information.
- (2) Actual line survey (indicate if inspection was performed).

If different technologies are applied, the inspection results shall be supplied on separate sheets.

2.11.1 Manufacturing Environment

(a) Which phases of manufacture are carried out under controlled environmental conditions?



YES NO

(b) Give details of conditions.

2.11.2 Fabrication of Substrate

- (a) State type of material used.
- (b) State source of material.
- (c) State method of optical axis location (if required).
- (d) State method of slicing into individual elements.
- (e) How are surfaces finished?
- (f) How are edges finished?
- (g) How are elements cleaned? Comments

2.11.3 Metallisation of Substrate

(a) Is a SEM available?

,					
		ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 21 ISSUE 1	
			YES	s no	
(b)	Are	e controls on photo-resist factors documented?	120		
	(1)	Preparation (frequency, chemicals, method)			
	(2)	Evaluation (specific gravity, viscosity, solids residue, definition of line width, pin holes)		<u></u>	
	(3)	Storage conditions (temperature, container type)		<u> </u>	
	(4)	Application (mounting on substrate, temperature control, spin rate and duration, acceleration)			
	(5)	Baking (time, temperature)			
	(6)	Exposure (contact pressure, light intensity, time)			
	(7)	Development (time, temperature, inspection, magnification, lighting, rejection criteria)		.	
	(8)	Environmental control (temperature, relative humidity, dust count, lighting)			
		Comments			
(c)	Are	e controls on masking documented?			
	(1)	Environmental conditions (relative humidity, temperature, dust count)			
	(2)	Geometry (width, length, spacing)		<u> </u>	
	(3)	Pin holes (size, density)			
	• •	Scratches	<u></u>		
	(5)	Foreign body contamination			
		Edge integrity			
	• •	Storage		•	
	(8)	Inspection method	<u></u>		
		Comments			
(d)	Me	thod of metallisation?			
	(1)	Vacuum chamber deposition			
	(2)	Electron beam deposition	<u> </u>		
	(3)	Sputtering		.	
	(4)	Other	<u> </u>		

	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 22 ISSUE 1
(e) Metal adhesion test Comments	YE:	6 NO	

- (f) Electrical tests on metallisation.
 - (1) Are procedures documented?
 - (2) Is test equipment available?
 - (3) Are personnel trained?

Comments

2.11.4 Bonding of Substrate

- (a) What material is used?
- (b) How is bond thickness controlled?
- (c) What bonding strength test is employed?
- (d) Documentation control of bonding:-
 - (1) Temperature
 - (2) Material preparation
 - (3) Application technique
 - (4) Cleanliness
 - (5) Ambient conditions

Comments

	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 23 ISSUE 1
--	--	--	--------------------

2.11.5	Lead-bonding	YES	NO
	(a) What material is used?		
	(b) What lead bond strength test is employed?		
	(c) Documentation control of bonding:-		
	(1) Temperature		<u></u>
	(2) Pressure		<u></u>
	(3) Dwell time		
	(4) Condition of capillary or electrode control	<u></u>	
	(5) Ultrasonic power (if applicable)		
	(6) Ambient conditions		
	Comments		

2.11.6 Pre-seal Device Preparation

- (a) Are devices cleaned prior to sealing?
- (b) Are devices inspected (100% or less)?
- (c) What internal visual inspection is performed?
- (d) What protection is given to cleaned devices?
- (e) What segregation of rejected devices is made?
- (f) What is final disposition of rejected devices?

Comments

Manager and a second				
		ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 24 ISSUE 1
2.11.7	Enclosure of Devices		YES	S NO
	(a) What sealing techniqu	ue is employed?		
	(b) Documentation of sea	ling process (as applicable):-		
		ne, temperature, ambient conditions)		
	(2) Heat (or power) to	produce seal		• <u></u>
	(3) Humidity during s	eal		
		aled atmosphere (type, pressure, flow	rate)	
	(5) Welding controls	(pressure, power, time)		-
	(c) Type of leak test (fine	e, gross)		
	(d) Facilities for radiograp	hic inspection?		. <u></u>
	Comments			
2.11.8		ons in Para's. 2.11.1 to 2.11.7:- iteria provided for inspection purposes?	,	- <u> </u>
	(b) Are visual aids applied If so, state for which o	·		. <u> </u>
	(c) Are visual aids and cr	iteria adequate?		
2.11.9	Final Tost Aroa and Saraa	ning Facility		
2.11.9	Final Test Area and Scree			
	(a) Are they separate ope	erations?		
	(b) Are final production te by personnel under Q	ests (see ESA/SCC specification) perfor .A. monitoring? or	med	<u></u>
	Are they performed by	y Q.A. personnel?		· <u></u>
	Comments			

	See	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 25 ISSUE 1		
(c)	Are written inspecti line available for th Comments	on and test procedures for product classe the final test (Q.A.)?	YE: es on the	5 NO - <u></u>		
(d)	 (d) Do inspectors use assigned stamps to indicate inspection status on materials and accompanying documents? Comments 					
(e)	 (e) Are requests for corrective action made in writing? Are such requests answered? Comments 					
(f)	Are rejected device Comments	s identified and segregated in a controlled	d area?	<u> </u>		
(g)		epted and rejected material maintained? dentifiable with such materials?		 		
(h)	Are device failures Are device failure a Comments	analysed? nalyses summarised and reported by fina	 I Q.A.?			
(i)		ection and test report sent regularly to qua eceptance, percentage of defects, types o		<u> </u>		

	See	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 26 ISSUE 1
(j)	Is a testing laborate purposes?	ory or equivalent facility available for quali	YES y assurance	S NO
	Which of the follow	ing tests are performed in the laboratory	or facility?	
	(1) Electrical tests		-	
	(2) Mechanical tes	ts		
	(3) Chemical tests			
	Comments			
(k)		ols of device parameter distribution maint o Q.A. or Reliability?	ained?	
(1)	ls an environmenta	test facility maintained in-house?		
	If not, state where:			
		sts performed at this facility?		
	(1) Temperature (
	(2) Shock (mecha	nical, thermal)	<u></u>	
	(3) Acceleration			
	(4) Vibration (fixed			
	(5) Moisture resist	ance		
	(6) Altitude			
	(7) Radiographic			-
	(8) Hermeticity tes			
	(a) Fine leak, i			
		or penetrant dye	<u> </u>	-
	(9) Lead fatigue		<u> </u>	
	(10) Life tests - ope	erating		<u>.</u>
	Comments			

		·		
	<u>See</u>	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 27 ISSUE 1
(m)) Is available equipm	ent used:	YES	S NO
	- For production?)		
	- In R&D?		·	<u> </u>
		trol on a sample basis?		
	- For screening?			
(n)	Are charts provided equipment?	for the monitoring of environmental test		
	Comments			
(o)	Is test equipment a	dequate for fulfilment of specification requ	uirements?	<u> </u>
	Comments			
(Q)	Is final external visu	al inspection performed on 100% of the	devices?	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Comments			·
	A constant of the second s			
(q)		in a limited access area?		
	Comments			
(r)		itely identified to Customer requirements?	•	
	Comments			
(s)	Are there provisions	s for lot identification?		
	Comments			



-

NO

YES

(t) How many burn-in positions are available:

- At room ambient temperature?
- At specified ambient temperature?
- At specified case temperature (cooled hot plate)?
- (u) Does burn-in require soldering of leads? Comments
- (v) What precautions are taken to maintain solderability of leads after burn-in?

Comments

- (w) How does Manufacturer ensure that failed devices are separated from processed lots of:
 - SCC Level 'B'
 - SCC Level 'C'
- (x) Has Manufacturer all test equipment necessary to perform all qualification tests:
 - In-house?
 - In nearby facility?

Specify equipment and its location:

- In remote location

Specify equipment and its location:

N				
	Sec	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE 29 ISSUE 1
2.12 <u>PF</u>	ESERVATION, PAC	KING AND SHIPPING	YE	S NO
(a)	Are there adequate Comments	written procedures for control of shipping	J?	
(b)	Are materials desig protected? Comments	nated for shipment properly identified, ha	ndled and	
(c)		mer's purchase order and evidence of ins pany materials from end of final test up to		
(d)	Do Q.A. personnel Comments	perform audits of all outgoing lots?		
(e)		ents reflect inspection status or evidence ation and similar shipping requirements?	of	
(f)	Does Manufacturer purchase order? Comments	verify conformity of devices and invoices	with	
(g)	devices?	implement special packaging methods fo wing methods is used?	r hi-rəl	
	- Individual packa	-	<u></u>	
	- Mechanical pro			
	- Environmental			
	- Special warning	g labels		• <u> </u>
(h)		designed to allow official inspection by Co aval of protective material?	ustoms	

	ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2023502		PAGE ISSUE	30 1
--	--	--	---------------	---------

NO

		YES
(i)	Do instructions prohibit the use of substandard packaging methods for	
	shipment of hi-rel devices?	



2.13 SUMMARY OF INSPECTION RESULTS

Indicate inspection results per manufacturing and testing area, whereby:

- V = Adequate.
- O = Insufficient or non-adequate.
- = Not checked.
- N/A = Not applicable.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Environmental conditions:

Cleanliness

Temperature control

Humidity control

Occupancy

Procedures available:

Travellers

Calibration

Segregation of rejects

Inspection evidence

Area No.

- 1 =
- 2 =
- 3 =
- 4 =
- 5 =
- 6 =
- 7 =
- 8 =
- •
- 9 =
- 10 =



2.14 <u>GENERAL OBSERVATIONS</u> (Not to exceed 2 pages)